

# **APPENDIX K**

## **Sewer Assessment**





SEWER AREA STUDY

# BRASADA

San Dimas, California

Prepared For  
*NJD, LTD*  
*26152 Oroville Place*  
*Laguna hills, CA 92653*

Prepared By

Fusco Engineering, Inc.  
16795 Von Karman, Suite 100  
Irvine, California 92606  
949.474.1960  
[www.fusco.com](http://www.fusco.com)

**Project Manager:**  
**Trevor Dodson, P.E.**

**Date Prepared: May 2008**  
**Date Revised: June 10, 2010**  
**Job Number: 349.04.01**

*full circle thinking*®





## **1. EXISTING SEWER SYSTEMS FOR BRASADA**

The City of San Dimas has its own sewer system which connects at various points to the Los Angeles County Sanitation District (LACSD) lines. The subject of this study is the line which runs from the foothills at Cataract Avenue to the City of Glendora border in the northwesterly sector of the city. This line starts at a manhole at Cataract Avenue and W. Dalepark Drive, runs southerly in Cataract, jogs westerly and then southerly in an easement through the Glendora Country Club to a manhole on the northerly side of Foothill Blvd. The line crosses Foothill Blvd. before running in a northwesterly direction to Amelia Avenue. The line turns southerly along Amelia Ave. to a manhole on the northerly side of San Dimas Wash. At that point the line accepts flow from the 74 acre area located south of the San Dimas Wash, north of the Foothill Freeway (I-210) and between Amelia Avenue and San Dimas Avenue. The line makes a u-turn and heads northerly to the westerly portion of Baseline Road, where it turns west into the City of Glendora. The line proceeds along Baseline Road to its terminus at the LACSD Lone Hill Avenue Trunk Sewer. The San Dimas sewer system is maintained by LACSD.

See Appendix 3 for a spreadsheet of area calculations, Appendix 4 for Specific Plan and Land Use Maps and Appendix 5 for Area Study Exhibit.

## **2. METHODOLOGY**

1. Existing sewer plans studied
2. Tributary areas mapped with current City of San Dimas Land Use Map. See Area Study Exhibit (Appendix 5).
3. Land use coefficients calculated and applied in a typical calculation (Appendix 1)
4. Kutter's formula was used for capacity checks,  $n=0.013$  Haested Methods. Typical calculation in Appendix 2. Results in Spreadsheet (Appendix 3).
5. % of half-full per line was calculated using excel spreadsheet Kutters formula, flow coefficients assigned per City of San Dimas Land Use Maps and Los Angeles Public Works handout, and acreage of Land Use Areas. Manhole designations used are those called out in the GIS information provided by the City of San Dimas and available from the LA County GIS website.
6. All existing pipes are either 8" or 10" and were evaluated based on the half-full design criteria. Any lines found deficient on that basis were compared to the full flow capacity, being that the area is fully developed with the exception of the proposed development site, which was applied to the study at the rate approved by the City for development.

### **3. RESULTS**

The following areas have been found to be deficient using the half-full design criteria:

Appendix 3	Segment 314 – 315	100.17% full
	Segment 315 – 316	100.17% full
	Segment 316 – 317	100.17% full
	Segment 237 – 235	107.02% full

No areas have been found to be deficient using the % full (for fully developed areas).

All reaches found to be deficient were minimally deficient using the 50% full criterion. The 50% full criterion is used for design purposes, not for evaluation of capacity. No reaches were found deficient using the 100% full criterion. Since all areas tributary to the sewer are currently fully developed and the proposed development for which this study was commissioned is limited to a certain number of estate type homes, the study finds that there is sufficient capacity in the sewer system to accommodate the proposed development. Therefore, no upgrade of the sewer system should be required for the Brasada development.



**Appendix 1**



**Table DP-1. Profile of General Demographic Characteristics: 2000**

Geographic Area: San Dimas city, California

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
<b>Total population</b> .....	<b>34,980</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
<b>SEX AND AGE</b>			<b>Total population</b> .....	<b>34,980</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Male.....	16,783	48.0	Hispanic or Latino (of any race).....	8,163	23.3
Female.....	18,197	52.0	Mexican.....	6,029	17.2
Under 5 years.....	2,051	5.9	Puerto Rican.....	190	0.5
5 to 9 years.....	2,495	7.1	Cuban.....	208	0.6
10 to 14 years.....	2,697	7.7	Other Hispanic or Latino.....	1,736	5.0
15 to 19 years.....	2,707	7.7	Not Hispanic or Latino.....	26,817	76.7
20 to 24 years.....	2,113	6.0	White alone.....	21,381	61.1
25 to 34 years.....	4,183	12.0	<b>RELATIONSHIP</b>		
35 to 44 years.....	5,642	16.1	<b>Total population</b> .....	<b>34,980</b>	<b>100.0</b>
45 to 54 years.....	5,513	15.8	In households.....	33,771	96.5
55 to 59 years.....	1,937	5.5	Householder.....	12,163	34.8
60 to 64 years.....	1,483	4.2	Spouse.....	7,019	20.1
65 to 74 years.....	2,020	5.8	Child.....	10,940	31.3
75 to 84 years.....	1,534	4.4	Own child under 18 years.....	7,850	22.4
85 years and over.....	605	1.7	Other relatives.....	2,093	6.0
Median age (years).....	37.3	(X)	Under 18 years.....	727	2.1
18 years and over.....	26,046	74.5	Nonrelatives.....	1,556	4.4
Male.....	12,132	34.7	Unmarried partner.....	508	1.5
Female.....	13,914	39.8	In group quarters.....	1,209	3.5
21 years and over.....	24,546	70.2	Institutionalized population.....	372	1.1
62 years and over.....	5,014	14.3	Noninstitutionalized population.....	837	2.4
65 years and over.....	4,159	11.9	<b>HOUSEHOLD BY TYPE</b>		
Male.....	1,588	4.5	<b>Total households</b> .....	<b>12,163</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Female.....	2,571	7.3	Family households (families).....	8,985	73.9
<b>RACE</b>			With own children under 18 years.....	4,319	35.5
One race.....	33,443	95.6	Married-couple family.....	7,019	57.7
White.....	26,116	74.7	With own children under 18 years.....	3,289	27.0
Black or African American.....	1,156	3.3	Female householder, no husband present.....	1,405	11.6
American Indian and Alaska Native.....	243	0.7	With own children under 18 years.....	746	6.1
Asian.....	3,286	9.4	Nonfamily households.....	3,178	26.1
Asian Indian.....	464	1.3	Householder living alone.....	2,558	21.0
Chinese.....	1,013	2.9	Householder 65 years and over.....	1,057	8.7
Filipino.....	810	2.3	Households with individuals under 18 years.....	4,750	39.1
Japanese.....	317	0.9	Households with individuals 65 years and over.....	2,765	22.7
Korean.....	230	0.7	<b>Average household size</b> .....	<b>2.78</b>	(X)
Vietnamese.....	116	0.3	<b>Average family size</b> .....	<b>3.23</b>	(X)
Other Asian <sup>1</sup> .....	336	1.0	<b>HOUSING OCCUPANCY</b>		
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander.....	73	0.2	<b>Total housing units</b> .....	<b>12,503</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Native Hawaiian.....	37	0.1	Occupied housing units.....	12,163	97.3
Guamanian or Chamorro.....	11	-	Vacant housing units.....	340	2.7
Samoan.....	20	0.1	For seasonal, recreational, or		
Other Pacific Islander <sup>2</sup> .....	5	-	occasional use.....	46	0.4
Some other race.....	2,569	7.3	Homeowner vacancy rate (percent).....	1.0	(X)
Two or more races.....	1,537	4.4	Rental vacancy rate (percent).....	2.6	(X)
<b>Race alone or in combination with one</b>			<b>HOUSING TENURE</b>		
<b>or more other races:</b> <sup>3</sup>			<b>Occupied housing units</b> .....	<b>12,163</b>	<b>100.0</b>
White.....	27,444	78.5	Owner-occupied housing units.....	8,967	73.7
Black or African American.....	1,341	3.8	Renter-occupied housing units.....	3,196	26.3
American Indian and Alaska Native.....	516	1.5	Average household size of owner-occupied units.....	2.87	(X)
Asian.....	3,754	10.7	Average household size of renter-occupied units.....	2.51	(X)
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander.....	162	0.5			
Some other race.....	3,383	9.7			

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup> Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

<sup>2</sup> Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

<sup>3</sup> In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000.

FROM 2000 CENSUS OF CITY OF SAN DIMAS  
AVG. 2.78 PERSONS/HOUSEHOLD OR DU

PER CO. OF L.A. SECTION K: FOR CALCULATIONS  
FOR AREA STUDIES.

TO CALCULATE cfs/acre use

$$\frac{125 \text{ GPD} \times \# \text{ OF PERSONS/ACRE}}{24 \text{ HRS/DAY} \times 60 \text{ min/HR}} = \text{GPM}$$

$$\text{GPM} \times 0.002228 = \text{CFS/ACRE COEF.}$$

SINCE THE ZONING FOR SAN DIMAS DOES NOT FOLLOW  
THE SAME ZONING DESIGNATIONS AS L.A. COUNTY,  
THE FOLLOWING CALCULATIONS WERE DONE TO  
DETERMINE THE CORRECT COEFFICIENT TO APPLY TO  
VARIOUS SAN DIMAS ZONING AREAS.

~~MF-12: 0.006 cfs      0.002228 cfs/GPM~~

$$\frac{12 \text{ UNITS}}{\text{AC}} \times \frac{2.78 \text{ persons}}{\text{UNIT}} = 33.36 \text{ persons}$$

$$\frac{125 \times 33.36}{24 \times 60} = 2.90 \times 0.002228 = 0.006 \text{ cfs/AC}$$

~~SP-22:~~

$$\frac{3.81 \text{ UNITS}}{\text{AC}} \times \frac{2.78 \text{ person}}{\text{UNIT}} = 10.59 \text{ persons}$$

$$\frac{125 \times 10.59}{24 \times 60} = 0.92 \times 0.002228 = 0.002 \text{ cfs/AC}$$

## LAND USE DESIGNATIONS

SF - VERY LOW ESTATE - 0.0001  
DENSITY 0.2 UNITS/ACRE

$$\frac{0.2 \text{ UNITS}}{\text{ACRE}} \times \frac{2.78 \text{ PERSONS}}{\text{UNIT}} = 0.56 \text{ PERSONS/AC}$$

$$\frac{125 \times 0.56}{24 \times 60} = 0.049 \times 0.002228 = 0.0001$$

SF - VERY LOW - 0.0016  
DENSITY = 3 UNITS/AC

$$\frac{3 \text{ UNITS}}{\text{ACRE}} \times \frac{2.78 \text{ PERSONS}}{\text{UNIT}} = 8.34 \text{ PERSONS/AC}$$

$$\frac{125 \times 8.34}{24 \times 60} = 0.724 \times 0.002228 = 0.0016$$

SF - LOW - 0.0032  
DENSITY 6 UNITS/AC

$$\frac{6 \text{ UNITS}}{\text{AC}} \times \frac{2.78 \text{ PERSONS}}{\text{UNIT}} = 16.68 \text{ PERSONS/AC}$$


$$\frac{125 \times 16.68}{24 \times 60} = 1.448 \times 0.002228 = 0.0032$$

LOW/MEDIUM - 0.0043

DENSITY 8 UNITS/AC

$$\frac{8 \text{ UNITS}}{\text{AC}} \times \frac{2.78 \text{ PERSONS}}{\text{UNIT}} = 22.24 \text{ PERSONS/AC}$$

$$\frac{125 \times 22.24}{24 \times 60} = 1.931 \times 0.002228 = 0.0043$$



Project:		Project #:	
By:	Date:	Check:	Date:
			Page of

LAND USE DESIGNATIONS

MEDIUM - 0.0065

DENSITY 12 UNITS/AC

$$\frac{12 \text{ UNITS}}{\text{AC}} \times \frac{2.78 \text{ PERSONS}}{\text{UNIT}} = 33.36 \text{ PERSONS/AC}$$

$$\frac{125 \times 33.36}{24 \times 60} = 2.896 \times 0.002228 = 0.0065$$

full circle thinking



11-17-00



ESTIMATED AVERAGE DAILY SEWAGE FLOWS  
FOR VARIOUS OCCUPANCIES

Occupancy	Addr.	Average Daily Flow*
Apartment Buildings:	Apt	X 2.5 To obtain Peak Flow.
Bachelor or single dwelling units.....	.....	100 gal/D.U.
1 bedroom dwelling units.....	.....	150 gal/D.U.
2 bedroom dwelling units.....	.....	200 gal/D.U.
3 or more bedroom dwelling units.....	.....	250 gal/D.U.
Auditoriums, churches, etc.....	Aud.....	5 gal/seat
Automobile parking .....	P .....	25 gal/1000 sq. ft. gross floor area
Bars, cocktail lounges, etc.....	Bar.....	20 gal/seat
Commercial Shops & Stores.....	CS .....	100 gal/1000 sq. ft. gross floor area
Hospitals (surgical) .....	HS .....	500 gal/bed
Hospitals (convalescent) .....	HC .....	85 gal/bed
Hotels .....	H .....	150 gal/room
Medical Buildings .....	MB.....	300 gal/1000 sq. ft. gross floor area
Motels .....	M .....	150 gal/unit
<del>Office Buildings</del> .....	<del>Off</del> .....	<del>200 gal/1000 sq. ft. gross floor area</del>
Restaurants, cafeterias, etc....	R .....	50 gal/seat
Schools:		
Elementary or Jr. High .....	S .....	10 gal/student
High Schools .....	HS .....	15 gal/student
Universities or Colleges ....	U .....	20 gal/student
College Dormitories .....	CD .....	85 gal/student**

\*These flows in general include an average allowance for operating personnel, and hospital staff, faculty, etc., as appropriate.

\*\*Includes food service, laundry and bathing.

TWG/DWL  
Sewer Design

Post-It® Fax Note	7671	Date	3/9/05	# of pages	1
To	Joan E. Lyle		From	Tony Khalkhali	
Co./Dept.			Co.		
Phone #	249/474-1960		Phone #	621/458-4921	
Fax #	1/474-5315		Fax #	1/458-4949	

### Area Study

- Provide copy of County Sewer Maintenance District maps
- Highlight project site boundary
- Highlight existing mainline sewer from project site to trunk connection
- Provide copy of all existing mainline sewer plans from project site to trunk connection
- Indicate PC/CI plan number, pipe size, and slope along sewer mainline (from project site to trunk line connection)
- Draw tributary area on County Sewer Maintenance District maps
- Extend area study to topographic ridge line
- Draw tributary area on supporting topographic map
- Provide copy of current map from City or County Regional Planning Department indicating land use zones within the tributary area
- Color code subareas and each land use zone
- Provide sewer flow rates and capacity checks at sewer confluences, tract/parcel and political boundaries, and at critical sewer pipe size/slope locations.
- Wet stamp and sign area study map and calculations
- See comments on area study map and calculations



**Appendix 2**



---

## Typical Kutter's Formula calculation for half full pipe

---

### Project Description

Friction Method                      Kutter Formula  
Solve For                                Discharge

### Input Data

Roughness Coefficient                      0.013  
Channel Slope                                0.00400 ft/ft  
Normal Depth                                0.33 ft  
Diameter                                      0.67 ft

### Results

Discharge                                    0.34 ft<sup>3</sup>/s  
Flow Area                                    0.17 ft<sup>2</sup>  
Wetted Perimeter                            1.04 ft  
Top Width                                    0.67 ft  
Critical Depth                                0.27 ft  
Percent Full                                 49.3 %  
Critical Slope                                0.00812 ft/ft  
Velocity                                      1.99 ft/s  
Velocity Head                                0.06 ft  
Specific Energy                               0.39 ft  
Froude Number                               0.69  
Maximum Discharge                         0.77 ft<sup>3</sup>/s  
Discharge Full                               0.71 ft<sup>3</sup>/s  
Slope Full                                    0.00098 ft/ft  
Flow Type                                    SubCritical

### GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth                         0.00 ft  
Length                                       0.00 ft  
Number Of Steps                             0

### GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth                             0.00 ft  
Profile Description  
Profile Headloss                            0.00 ft  
Average End Depth Over Rise             0.00 %  
Normal Depth Over Rise                    49.25 %  
Downstream Velocity                        Infinity ft/s  
Upstream Velocity                          Infinity ft/s

---

## Typical Kutter's Formula calculation for half full pipe

---

### GVF Output Data

Normal Depth	0.33	ft
Critical Depth	0.27	ft
Channel Slope	0.00400	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.00812	ft/ft

## Typical Kutter's Formula full capacity calculation

### Project Description

Friction Method                      Kutter Formula  
Solve For                                Full Flow Capacity

### Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013
Channel Slope	0.00400 ft/ft
Normal Depth	0.66 ft
Diameter	0.66 ft
Discharge	0.68 ft <sup>3</sup> /s

### Results

Discharge	0.68 ft <sup>3</sup> /s
Normal Depth	0.66 ft
Flow Area	0.34 ft <sup>2</sup>
Wetted Perimeter	2.07 ft
Top Width	0.00 ft
Critical Depth	0.39 ft
Percent Full	100.0 %
Critical Slope	0.00906 ft/ft
Velocity	1.98 ft/s
Velocity Head	0.06 ft
Specific Energy	0.72 ft
Froude Number	0.00
Maximum Discharge	0.74 ft <sup>3</sup> /s
Discharge Full	0.68 ft <sup>3</sup> /s
Slope Full	0.00400 ft/ft
Flow Type	SubCritical

### GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00 ft
Length	0.00 ft
Number Of Steps	0

### GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00 ft
Profile Description	
Profile Headloss	0.00 ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00 %
Normal Depth Over Rise	100.00 %

---

## Typical Kutter's Formula full capacity calculation

---

### GVF Output Data

Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Depth	0.66	ft
Critical Depth	0.39	ft
Channel Slope	0.00400	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.00906	ft/ft

---

## Appendix 3

---

---

SAN DIMAS AREA STUDY -  
NORTHWESTERLY AREA DRAINING TO MH 304

	SEGMENT		PIPE SIZE	PIPE SLOPE-%	*1/2 FULL CAPACITY	**FULL CAPACITY	***CALC. FLOW	AREA ADDED TO PREVIOUS SEGMENT	AREA SUM	% - 1/2 FULL LINE	% - FULL LINE
	MH#	MH#									
CATARACT SOUTHERLY TO MH 699	upstream	371	8	0.00	0		0.008	80 acres of single family estate	80.00		
	371	706	8	0.40	0.34		0.060	16.11	96.11	17.52	-
	706	705	8	0.40	0.34		0.081	1.41	97.52	23.74	-
	705	X1	8	0.40	0.34		0.081	0.00	-	23.82	-
	X1	X2	8	0.40	0.34		0.081	0.00	-	23.82	-
	X2	X3	8	0.40	0.34		0.081	0.00	-	23.82	-
	X3	X4	8	0.40	0.34		0.081	0.00	-	23.82	-
	X4	X5	8	0.40	0.34		0.081	0.00	-	23.82	-
	X5	699	8	0.76	0.47		0.081	0.00	-	17.23	-
	699	699	0	-	0		0.134	12.24	109.76	-	-
SEGMENTS FROM MH 699 TO CITY LINE	699	305	8	0.24	0.26		0.134	0.00	-	51.40	-
	305	305					0.188	12.75	122.51		
	305	306	8	2.08	0.78		0.253	20.05	142.56	34.64	-
	306	307	8	2.08	0.78		0.270	5.50	148.06	34.64	-
	307	308	8	2.08	0.78		0.270	0.00	148.06	41.88	-
	308	309	8	2.88	0.91		0.316	14.23	162.29	34.70	-
	309	310	8	2.00	0.76		0.316	0.00	162.29	41.55	-
	310	311	8	0.88	0.50		0.327	1.68	163.97	65.33	-
	311	312	8	0.40	0.34		0.327	0.00	163.97	96.08	-
	312	313	8	0.40	0.34		0.338	1.68	165.65	99.29	-
	313	314	8	0.40	0.34		0.338	0.00	165.65	99.29	-
	314	315	8	0.40	0.34	0.68	0.341	Elementary school - 450 students	176.85	100.17	50.09
	315	316	8	0.40	0.34	0.68	0.341	0.00	176.85	100.17	50.09
	316	317	8	0.40	0.34	0.68	0.341	0.00	176.85	100.17	50.09
	317	318	8	6.60	1.38		0.341	0.00	176.85	24.68	-
	318	319	8	0.80	0.48		0.341	0.00	176.85	70.96	-
	319	320	10	0.24	0.51		0.372	9.67	186.52	72.85	-
	320	321	10	0.24	0.51		0.372	0.00	186.52	72.85	-
	321	321	MH	0.00			0.414	26.38	212.90	-	-
	321	321	MH	0.00			0.488	23.11	236.01	-	-
321	321	MH	0.00			0.592	24.18	260.19	-	-	
321	304	8	1.46	0.65		0.592	0.00	260.19	-	-	
SEGMENTS FROM CITY LINE THROUGH GLENDORA TO LA COUNTY MAIN AT MH 229	304	239	8	2.80	0.90		0.605	4.26	264.45	-	-
	239	237	8	4.00	1.08		0.618	3.95	268.40	-	-
	237	235	8	1.20	0.59	1.18	0.631	4.21	272.61	107.02	53.51
	235	233	8	1.60	0.68		0.646	4.66	277.27	-	-
	233	299	8	1.60	0.68		0.659	3.83	281.10	-	-

SAN DIMAS AREA STUDY -  
NORTHWESTERLY AREA DRAINING TO MH 304

ZONE	ZONING COEFFICIENT (cfs/Acre)
COMMERCIAL	0.0150
SF-VERY LOW ESTATE	0.0001
SF-VERY LOW	0.0016
SF - LOW	0.0032
LOW/MEDIUM	0.0043
MEDIUM	0.0065
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	-
100+	Reaches that will be overtaxed Areas added into manhole from the area south of San Dimas Wash and north of I-210 between Amelia Ave. and San Dimas Ave.

ELEMENTARY SCHOOL = 10 GAL/STUDENT/DAY

\*PER LA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS CHART S-C4 (IN CFS)- OR HAESTED METHODS - KUTTER'S FORMULA WITH  $n=0.013$

\*\*PER KUTTER'S FORMULA WITH  $N=0.013$  - HAESTED METHODS USED

\*\*\*BASED ON CURRENT LAND USE AND COEFFICIENTS CALCULATED IN APPENDIX 1 BASED ON LA COUNTY COEFFICIENTS (IN CFS/ACRE)

---

## Appendix 4

---

---





# GENERAL PLAN

## LAND USE MAP

RESIDENTIAL	DENSITY	COMMERCIAL
	(0 - 0.2) @	
	A. 5 AC MIN	
	B. 10 AC MIN	
	C. 15 AC MIN	
	(0.2 - 3)	
	(3.1 - 6)	
	(6.1 - 8)	
	(8.1 - 12)	
	(12.1 - 16)	
	MOBILE HOME	

Residential calculations shall be computed from the low end of each category. Increases in density (within the range) may be allocated for additional amenities and participation in city programs designed for the public good.




CITY OF SAN DIMAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
**DIGITAL MAP PRODUCTS**  
**P S O M A S**



# ZONING MAP

- SINGLE FAMILY (SF)
- SINGLE FAMILY HILLSIDE (SF-H)
- SINGLE FAMILY AGRICULTURE (SF-A)
- MULTIPLE FAMILY (MF)
- MULTIPLE FAMILY DUPLEX (MF-D)
- MOBILE HOME PARK (MH-P)
- COMMERCIAL NEIGHBORHOOD (CN)
- COMMERCIAL HIGHWAY (CH)
- ADMINISTRATIVE PROFESSIONAL (AP)
- CREATIVE GROWTH (CG)
- LIGHT MANUFACTURING (M-1)
- LIGHT AGRICULTURE (AL)
- PUBLIC/SEMI-PUBLIC (PS)
- OPEN SPACE (OS)
- WATERSHED (W)
- SPECIFIC PLAN (SP)
- BUFFER ZONE (B-1)



NORTH

5 25 50

ACRE SCALE

1,000
500
0
1,000
2,000
3,000

Feet

Projection: NAD 83, State Plane, California Zone 5, FIPS 0405, Feet

CITY OF SAN DIMAS  
DIGITAL MAP

Last Update: 6/03/04

Custom Page Size: 30" x 42", c:\stamasa2\projects\2\map014900\gsd\skel\sduser\_zoning\zoningmst\zoning\_1000.mxd



# GENERAL PLAN LAND USE MAP

## EXHIBIT LU - 7

### LEGEND

#### RESIDENTIAL (UNITS/ACRE)

- HILLSIDE VERY LOW DENSITY (0.1 - 1)
- LOW DENSITY (1.1 - 3)
- LOW/MEDIUM DENSITY (3.1 - 6)
- MEDIUM DENSITY (6.1 - 11)
- MEDIUM/HIGH DENSITY (11.1 - 15)
- HIGH DENSITY (15.1 - 20)

#### COMMERCIAL

- GENERAL COMMERCIAL
- REGIONAL COMMERCIAL
- VILLAGE MIXED USE

#### INDUSTRIAL

- LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
- GENERAL INDUSTRIAL

#### OPEN SPACE

- CONSERVATION OPEN SPACE
- OPEN SPACE


#### OTHER

- CIVIC/INSTITUTIONAL
- ROUTE 66 SPECIFIC PLAN
- UTILITY AND FLOOD CONTROL
- RAILROAD
- PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY
- SPHERE OF INFLUENCE
- COUNTY AREA OVERLAY
- CITY LIMITS

Source: GIS Data, City of Glendora



0 1,200 2,400  
Feet



M:\mdata\10102317\gis\arcinfo\preferred\_alternative.mxd August 31, 2006





**CITY OF GLENDORA  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**

**116 E. FOOTHILL BOULEVARD,  
GLENDORA, CALIFORNIA 91741  
(626) 914-8246 FAX (626) 914-9053**

**FAX MEMO**

DATE: 5/2/08  
TO: Jean Lyle, Fuscoe Eng.  
FROM: Eve Tate PHONE NO. (626) 914-8246  
SUBJECT: Sewer mainline: Base Line Rd.

TOTAL PAGES (including this page): 2

**MESSAGE:**

See attached index sheet. Base Line Rd.  
& Groveton sewer lines are under Tract  
No. 27692 (4 sheets).

Please call if you would like to  
request copies.

Eve

---

## Appendix 5

---

---

# CALCULATED SEWER COEFFICIENTS LAND USE MAP

CALCULATED SEWER COEFFICIENTS	RESIDENTIAL DENSITY	COMMERCIAL
0.0001	SINGLE FAMILY VERY LOW ESTATE (0 - 0.2) @ A. 5 AC MIN B. 10 AC MIN C. 15 AC MIN	OFFICE / PROFESSIONAL
0.0016	SINGLE FAMILY VERY LOW (0.2 - 3)	INDUSTRIAL
0.0032	SINGLE FAMILY LOW (3.1 - 6)	PUBLIC / SEMI-PUBLIC
0.0043	LOW / MEDIUM (6.1 - 8)	OPEN SPACE PARK
0.0065	MEDIUM (8.1 - 12)	CP-COMMUNITY NP-REGIONAL NP-NEIGHBORHOOD INDUSTRIAL
	HIGH (12.1 - 16)	
	MOBILE HOME	


Residential calculations shall be computed from the low end of each category. Increases in density (within the range) may be allocated for additional amenities and participation in city programs designed for the public good.



### LEGEND

- SEWER / LAND USE BOUNDARY
- EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
- EXISTING MANHOLE
- DIRECTION OF FLOW
- 0.45ac ACRES WITHIN SEWER / LAND USE BOUNDARY

61 NEW DU ON 308 ACRES TR 70583  
 TRACT 62872  
 8 NEW DU ON 6.18 AC.



**NORTH**  
 SCALE: 1"=50'  
 REVISED: JUNE 12, 2009



P:\Projects\349\04\Enr\...\_File Cabinet\Reports\Sewer\34904-enr-SS.dwg (7/2/2010 3:35 PM) Plotted by: Michelle Dessi