

**AGENDA
OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE
CITY OF SAN DIMAS SUCCESSORY AGENCY**

**FEBRUARY 12, 2015 4:00 P.M.
SAN DIMAS COUNCIL CHAMBERS CONFERENCE ROOM
245 EAST BONITA AVENUE
SAN DIMAS, CA 91773**

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of Minutes of November 13, 2014 and December 17, 2014
5. Review and Consideration of Resolution No. 32 – A Resolution of the Oversight Board of the former San Dimas Redevelopment Agency approving the Administrative Budget of the Successor Agency for the Period of July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34177
6. Review and Consideration of Resolution No. 33 – A Resolution of the Oversight Board of the former San Dimas Redevelopment Agency Approving the July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 Recognized Payment Obligation Schedule (ROPS 15-16 A) Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34180(g)
7. Update on the Long Range Property Management Plan
8. Reports from Staff
9. Public Comment
10. Reports of Board Members
11. Adjournment

MINUTES
OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE
CITY OF SAN DIMAS SUCCESSOR AGENCY

NOVEMBER 13, 2014 4:00 P.M.
SAN DIMAS COUNCIL CHAMBERS CONFERENCE ROOM
245 E. BONITA AVENUE
SAN DIMAS, CA 91773

Present: Board Members Curt Morris, Bonnie Bowman, A.F. Feldbush, Ann Sparks,
David Hall (arrived 4:15)

Successor Agency Staff: City Manager Blaine Michaelis, Assistant City Manager Ken
Duran, Finance Manager Barbara Bishop, Senior Accounting Technician Steve Valdivia

Absent: Brian Stiger, Larry Stevens

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Morris called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2014

Board member Feldbush made a motion to approve the minutes of September 11, 2014
as submitted. The motion was seconded by Board member Bowman and passed by a
unanimous vote.

**REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 29 – A RESOLUTION OF
THE SAN DIMAS OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE FORMER REDEVELOPMENT
AGENCY APPROVING AN AMENDED LONG RANGE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
PLAN**

Mr. Duran reported that the Department of Finance is requesting that the Oversight
Board amend their previously approved LRPMP to include the properties that were
previously denied by the DOF as governmental use. Mr. Duran reminded the Board of
the three properties in question. He reviewed his staff report and the recommendation
that the two properties that are portions of Municipal Parking District parking lots be
added to the LRPMP recommending that they be transferred to the City for continued
governmental use.

Board member Feldbush made a motion to approve Resolution No.29 amending the LRPMP by adding the two additional properties. The motion was seconded by Board member Bowman and passed unanimously.

REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 30 – A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AMENDING THE HOUSING ASSET TRANSFER FORM BY ADDING 115 N. MONTE VISTA AS A PROPERTY ASSET TO BE TRANSFERRED TO THE SAN DIMAS HOUSING AUTHORITY

Mr. Duran reported that the third property that was previously denied by the Department of Finance is a municipal parking lot that serves the residents of the Monte Vista Apartments. He added staff has been working with DOF staff and has determined that since the parking lot benefits the residents of low income apartment, it can be an asset transferred to the Housing Authority. Therefore, the proposed Resolution amends the Housing Asset Transfer Form to include this property.

Board member Sparks made a motion to approve Resolution No. 30 amending the Housing Asset Transfer Form. The motion was seconded by Board member Bowman and passed unanimously.

REPORTS FROM STAFF

Mr. Duran reported that the Department of Finance has denied the inclusion of the Housing administrative allowance on the ROPS 14-15B and that he and the City Attorney participating in a Meet and Confer conference arguing the matter and are awaiting the outcome. He provided a schedule for the adoption of the ROPS 15-16 A.

Mr. Michaelis provided an update on litigation and legislation pertaining to the dissolution process. Mr. Michaelis also provided an update on the plans for the sale of the Grove Station units.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

REPORTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS

None

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Morris adjourned the meeting at 4:30 p.m.

MINUTES
OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE
CITY OF SAN DIMAS SUCCESSOR AGENCY

DECEMBER 17, 2014 4:00 P.M.
SAN DIMAS COUNCIL CHAMBERS CONFERENCE ROOM
245 E. BONITA AVENUE
SAN DIMAS, CA 91773

Present: Board Members Curt Morris, Bonnie Bowman, Ann Sparks, Larry Stevens

Successor Agency Staff: City Manager Blaine Michaelis

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Morris called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m.

**REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 31 – A RESOLUTION OF
THE SAN DIMAS OVERSIGHT BOARD AMENDING THE HOUSING ASSET
TRANSFER FORM BY CHANGING INCORRECT ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBERS
AND CLARIFYING THE PROPERTY DESCRIPTION FOR SOME OF THE
PROPERTIES LISTED ON THE FORM**

Mr. Michaelis explained that the changes are essentially correcting clerical errors on some of the Parcel Numbers on the Form. Board member Stevens made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 31. The motion was seconded by Board member Bowman and passed by a vote of 4-0 with 3 members absent.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Morris adjourned the meeting at 3:10 p.m.



Oversight Board Staff Report

TO: Successor Agency Oversight Board
For the Meeting of February 12, 2015

FROM: Ken Duran, Assistant City Manager

SUBJECT: Consideration of the Successor Agency Administrative Budget covering the period July 1, 2015 – December 31, 2015

BACKGROUND

AB 1x 26 requires the preparation of an administrative budget for each six month period. The administrative budget lists the estimated amount of Successor Agency administrative costs for the period. The administrative budgets are prepared prospectively and are estimates.

This Administrative Budget for Oversight Board review is for the period July 1, 2015 – December, 2015. This will be the seventh budget reviewed and approved by the Oversight Board. Attached is a history of prior period expenses.

AB 1x 26 caps the amount of administrative reimbursable expense at \$250,000 or 3% of available tax increment per fiscal year. In San Dimas' case the \$250,000 cap applies.

The overall administrative budget is made up of four categories staff, legal, consultants and miscellaneous.

- Staff - Staff includes the personnel costs of the primary City staff working on responsibilities of the Successor Agency. The projected hours are based on the best estimate of the hours necessary to continue the work of the Successor Agency. The number of staff hours has fluctuated for each period depending on the workload and Agency activities within that period. It was anticipated that staff time would reduce over time, however, with each six month period the work load continues. It is anticipated that for this period there will be continued work addressing unresolved issues such as city loans, implementation of the LRPMP and on-going audits. In addition the staff component reflects a 10% overhead charge for the support of the primary staff - staff, equipment and incidentals. The office

rent component reflects a proportionate office rent cost for the primary staff.

- Legal – This component reflects the billable hours from legal counsel directly related to the Successor Agency activities. The proposed budget is \$15,000, is less than prior periods. If there is future litigation resulting from the dissolution process, those costs would be a separate enforceable obligation.
- Consultants – The consultant component includes expenses for bond trustees and auditors. Since audit and trustee expenses are only paid once per year there were no expenses for the last budget but are included again in this budget.
- Misc. – Includes miscellaneous expenses such as travel or specific supplies related to the Successor Agency activities. There is no budget anticipated for this category.

Exhibit "A" shows the proposed Administrative Budget for the July 1, 2015 – December 31, 2015 period totaling \$113,000. This budget reflects the first half of the fiscal year.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Oversight Board review and approve Resolution No. 32 approving the Administrative Budget for the July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 time period.

Successor Agency Administrative Budget History

Expense Category	ROPS I (Actual) Jan-Jun 2012	ROPS II (Actual) Jul-Dec 2012	ROPS III (Actual) Jan-Jun 2013	ROPS 13-14A (Actual) Jul-Dec 2013	ROPS 13-14B (Actual) Jan-Jun 2014	ROPS 14-15A (Actual) Jul-Dec 2014	ROPS 14-15B (Proposed) Jan-Jun 2015	ROPS 15-16A (Budgeted) Jul-Dec 2015
Staff	\$264,357	\$150,253	\$91,572	\$99,650	\$106,172	\$123,147	\$60,000	\$80,000
Legal* and Consultant	\$48,366	\$101,697	\$25,440	\$12,306	\$16,762	\$0	\$30,000	\$15,000
Consultants*	Included in above	Included in above	\$345	\$11,737	\$1,750	\$4,722	\$20,000	\$18,000
Misc.	Not accounted	Not accounted	\$802	\$0	\$1,623	\$12,131	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$312,723	\$251,950	\$118,159*	\$123,693	\$126,307	\$140,000	\$110,000	\$113,000

* Amount requested was \$127,982 but reduced by DOF when legal and consultant costs were included in total admin costs.

RESOLUTION NO. 32

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE CITY OF SAN DIMAS REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2015, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2015, PURSUANT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 34177.

WHEREAS, the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the City of San Dimas Redevelopment Agency has been appointed pursuant to the provisions of Health & Safety Code Section 34179; and

WHEREAS, the City of San Dimas ("City"), acting in its capacity as the Successor Agency ("Successor Agency") to the dissolved San Dimas Redevelopment Agency ("RDA"), duly prepared proposed Administrative Budget for the period of July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015, in accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 34171(b) and 34177(j); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 34177(j), the Oversight Board must approve an administrative budget for a successor agency for it to become established, valid, and operative for the applicable six-month fiscal period.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Oversight Board as follows:

SECTION 1. The foregoing Recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein.

SECTION 2. The Administrative Budget covering the period of July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A, is hereby approved pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 34177(j), and any other law that may apply to the approval by the Oversight Board of the identified budget for the identified periods.

SECTION 3. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177(k), the Secretary or authorized designee shall provide to the County Auditor-Controller administrative cost estimates that are to be paid from property tax revenues deposited into the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund based on the Administrative Budgets for the identified period approved by this Resolution.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was introduced and passed by the San Dimas Oversight Board, at its meeting of February 12, 2015.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

CHAIR, OVERSIGHT BOARD

ATTEST:

SECRETARY, OVERSIGHT BOARD

**SAN DIMAS SUCCESSOR AGENCY ADMINISTRATION BUDGET
ROPS 15-16A (VII) JULY – DECEMBER 2015**

EXPENSE CATEGORY	AMOUNT	NOTES
Staff	\$80,000	Staff costs for staff listed below
Legal	\$15,000	Estimate is less than 14 - 15A
Consultants	\$18,000	Trustee fees and audit costs
Misc. – Travel, supplies	\$0	None this period
TOTAL	\$113,000	

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

CITY MANAGER
 ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER
 FINANCE/IS MANAGER
 DEPUTY CITY CLERK
 SENIOR ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN
 ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

RESOLUTION NO. 33

A RESOLUTION OF THE SAN DIMAS OVERSIGHT BOARD APPROVING THE JULY 1, 2015 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2015 RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE PURSUANT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 34180(g)

WHEREAS, California Health & Safety Code Sections 34177(l) (2) (A) requires the Successor Agency to prepare a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (the "ROPS") and make associated notifications and distributions; and

WHEREAS, the ROPS must be approved by the Oversight Board pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34180(g) and 34177(j).

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the San Dimas Oversight Board approves the ROPS for the period July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 and directs the Successor Agency Executive Director, or their designee, to file, post, mail or otherwise deliver via electronic mail, internet posting, and/or hardcopy, all notices and transmittals necessary or convenient in connection with the approval of the ROPS.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was introduced and passed by the San Dimas Oversight Board, at its meeting of February 12, 2015.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

CHAIR, OVERSIGHT BOARD

Attest:

SECRETARY, OVERSIGHT BOARD



Oversight Board Staff Report

DATE: February 13, 2014
TO: Successor Agency Oversight Board
FROM: Ken Duran, Assistant City Manager
SUBJECT: Adoption of July - December 2015 Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A)

Background

One of the requirements of ABx1 26, is that every six months successor agencies must submit for approval by the Oversight Board a "Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule" (ROPS). This schedule lists all of the payment obligations of the Agency based upon commitments prior to the legislation being adopted. The Board approved the first ROPS in May 2012. This ROPS represents the eight ROPS reviewed by the Board.

Presented for review and consideration is ROPS 15-16A, for the period of July - December 2015.

The Department of Finance provides the form to be used to submit the ROPS. The form essentially contains four parts:

- Page 1 – Summary Page The Summary page is a summary of the other pages and makes the estimated calculation of the amount eligible from the RPTTF or Trust Fund. The gross Enforceable Obligation funded from the RPTTF is \$1,403,777.
- Page 2 – July – December 2015 Obligations. This page lists all of the obligations for the July - December period. A detailed explanation for each item is included in the attached ROPS Summary Information report.
- Page 3 - Prior Period Estimated Obligation vs. Actual Payments (ROPS 13-14A). This page compares the estimated vs. actual amounts for the July - December 2014 period. This is to serve as a "true-up" analysis for that prior six month period. If actual expenses were less than the estimates, a deduction will be made on the disbursement of the ROPS 14-15A funds.
- Page 4 – Report of Cash Balance. The report is designed to review the Cash Balance of the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund. This would account for

funds in the account other than ROPS distributions, such as bond proceeds and outside income such as rent. In San Dimas' case there are no revenue sources outside of the ROPS distribution.

The ROPS 15-16A must be submitted to the DOF by March 3, 2015 after it has been approved by the Oversight Board. There are financial penalties for not submitting by that date. DOF then has 45 days to review and make a determination on the ROPS. Within 5 days of the determination a successor agency may request additional review and meet and confer on the determination. In June 2015 County Auditor-Controllers shall make the distribution of funds for the ROPS 15-16A.

SUMMARY

The attached Summary Information report provides the background for each of the items included on the ROPS. There are no new items being proposed. In the past we provided you with relevant documentation pertaining to each item. Abbreviated or the entire supporting documents for each item are again available for your additional review upon request.

RECOMMENDATION

After review and discussion staff recommends that the Oversight Board approve Resolution No. 33, approving the ROPS for July 1, 2015 – December 31, 2015 (ROPS 15-16A).

**SAN DIMAS SUCCESSOR AGENCY – RECOGNIZED PAYMENT OBLIGATION
SCHEDULE (ROPS 15-16A)**

SUMMARY INFORMATION

#1 – 1991 Taxable Bond Issue Creative Growth - 1991 Bond issued for non-housing related projects

In 1991 Bonds were issued by the Agency in the amount of \$9,000,000 for the purpose of the refinancing a prior bond issue and for the continued purposes of the Agency. In 1998 the Agency issued a new bond, which one of the purposes was to refinance a portion of this 1991 Bond. After the 1998 bond issuance the balance on the 1991 bond was \$1,850,000. The current balance on the bond is \$114,281. The schedule reflects a final bond payment in September 2016. The ROPS 15-16A includes a payment of \$69,556.

#2 – 1998 Taxable Bond Issue Creative Growth Refinance Portion - 1998 Bond issued for non-housing related projects

In 1998 Bonds were issued by the Agency in the amount of \$5,950,000 for the purpose of the refinancing of a portion of the 1991 bond issuance to take advantage of better rates and for the continued purposes of the Agency. The current outstanding balance on the bonds is \$1,181,875. The ROPS 15-16A includes a payment of \$578,125.

#7 – Loan to CRA Walker House LLC – An LLC was formed to partner with a private interest (in this case the Sherwin Williams Paint Company) to create eligibility for historic tax credits to be available for the Walker House restoration/renovation. Proceeds from the historic tax credit program were loaned from the LLC to assist in the funding of the Walker House restoration/renovation project. The loan is for 20 years at 5.0% interest. Principal due \$1,719,798 – annual payments \$132,470. This item was originally denied by the Department of Finance on the ROPS I, II and III. The Agency appealed that denial and the Department of Finance ultimately approved the item as an Enforceable Obligation.

#8 – SERAF Loan – In 2009 the state legislature and Governor removed \$2.05 Billion from Redevelopment Agencies to be used for state purposes. San Dimas was required to pay \$2,085,645. The state concluded that if an Agency did not have the money to pay, it was authorized to borrow money from its Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund – to be repaid within 5 years. To complete the payment, San Dimas borrowed the money. Principal currently due is \$968,177. Under the revised provisions with AB 1484 that changed the HSC, the Agency was able to begin submitting reimbursement on

ROPS 14-15A. The amount of the annual reimbursement is based on a formula as outlined in the attached spreadsheet. The amount requested on this ROPS is \$235,048.

#9 – Administrative Costs - Reimburse the City for administrative costs of the Successor Agency

The administrative budget for the Successor Agency is being discussed under separate report. The amount included on the ROPS is \$113,000.

#12 – Parking Lot Assessment Puddingstone Center - Agency obligations under the Puddingstone Parking District. In 1996 the Puddingstone Parking District was formed. Property owners within the District are assessed a pro-rata share of maintenance and operation costs of the public parking lot created by the District. The Agency's pro-rata annual share is \$1,578.

#13 – OPDDA (Parking Lot Lease) – Costco – The Costco project involved above market property acquisition, business relocation, demolition, multiple environmental review, utility work, and off-site traffic improvements over and above project costs to construct the Costco site and building. To address a portion of those costs the Agency and Costco through a Disposition and Development Agreement provided a means for a payment to Costco for a term of 14 years and a maximum total lease payment of \$7 million. The payment amount is calculated from a formula that considers the sales tax production of the site and property taxes on a quarterly basis. The payment obligation began in May 2008. This item was originally denied by the Department of Finance on the ROPS III. The Agency appealed that denial and the DOF ultimately accepted this item as an Enforceable Obligation. The amount is calculated on actual revenues received by the City, therefore is paid once the actual revenues are calculated. These amounts are therefore not known when the ROPS are prepared so we can only provide an estimate. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B we started calculating the obligation as an estimation of the two quarters and adding in any difference from the prior periods actuals. This has been a process that continues each ROPS submittal since we will always be submitting estimates and “trueing-up” to actuals the following ROPS submittal. The amount included in the ROPS is \$274,000.

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) - Summary

Filed for the July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 Period

Name of Successor Agency: San Dimas
 Name of County: Los Angeles

Current Period Requested Funding for Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Six-Month Total
Enforceable Obligations Funded with Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF)	
A Funding Sources (B+C+D):	\$ -
B Bond Proceeds Funding (ROPS Detail)	-
C Reserve Balance Funding (ROPS Detail)	-
D Other Funding (ROPS Detail)	-
E Enforceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF Funding (F+G):	\$ 1,403,777
F Non-Administrative Costs (ROPS Detail)	1,290,777
G Administrative Costs (ROPS Detail)	113,000
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):	\$ 1,403,777

Successor Agency Self-Reported Prior Period Adjustment to Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding	
I Enforceable Obligations funded with RPTTF (E):	1,403,777
J Less Prior Period Adjustment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments Column S)	-
K Adjusted Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding (I-J)	\$ 1,403,777

County Auditor Controller Reported Prior Period Adjustment to Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding	
L Enforceable Obligations funded with RPTTF (E):	1,403,777
M Less Prior Period Adjustment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments Column AA)	-
N Adjusted Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding (L-M)	1,403,777

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:
 Pursuant to Section 34177 (m) of the Health and Safety code, I
 hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized
 Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named agency.

Name	Title
/s/	
Signature	Date

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16A) - ROPS Detail
July 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	Funding Source					Six-Month Total
										Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (Non-RPTTF)			RPTTF		
										Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	
								\$ 11,086,326		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,290,777	\$ 113,000	\$ 1,403,777
1	1991 Taxable Bond Issue Creative	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	4/16/1991	9/2/2016	US Bank	Bond Issue to fund non-Housing	Creative Growth	144,281	N				69,556		\$ 69,556
2	1998 Taxable Bond Issue Creative Growth Refinance Portion	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	9/1/1998	9/2/2016	US Bank	Bond Issue to fund non-Housing Projects	Creative Growth	1,181,875	N				578,125		\$ 578,125
3	1998 Charter Oak Mobile Home Park	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	7/1/1999	3/2/2028	US Bank	Bond Issue to fund housing projects	Creative Growth	2,080,000	N						\$
4	Loan to CRA -Re-Calculated at LAIF .29% Combined All Loans due from CRA Est Payback Beg 2018-19. Estimated payback 2042.	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	6/30/2001	6/30/2042	City of San Dimas	Loan for non-housing projects - All PY Loans recalculated Remaining Principal of 14,630,304 at .29% LAIF interest for 30 Years and combined as 1 loan -	Creative Growth		N						\$
5	Loan to CRA Walker House Fund 30-Combined with line 4	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	6/9/2009	6/30/2028	City of San Dimas	Loan for rehabilitation projects - combined above with line 4	Creative Growth		N						\$
6	Loan to Rancho San Dimas- Combined with line 4	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	10/28/1997	6/30/2035	City of San Dimas	Loan to fund non-housing projects - combined above with line 4	Rancho San Dimas		N						\$
7	Loan to CRA Walker House Fund 03	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	6/9/2009	6/30/2028	Walker House Master Tennant	Loan for rehabilitation projects	Creative Growth	1,719,798	N				132,470		\$ 132,470
8	SERAF Loan	SERAF/ERAF	5/10/2010	6/30/2015	Housing Set Aside	Repayment to housing fund	Creative Growth/ Rancho San Dimas	968,177	N				235,048		\$ 235,048
9	Administrative Costs	Admin Costs	8/23/2012	6/30/2014	City of San Dimas	Cost to Administer Successor Agency	Creative Growth/ Rancho San Dimas	250,000	N					113,000	\$ 113,000
12	Parking Assessment Puddingstone Center	Property Maintenance	9/2/2006	3/3/2017	Puddingstone Parking District	Parking Lot Maintenance & Operations	Creative Growth	3,949	N				1,578		\$ 1,578
13	Parking Lot Lease	Business Incentive Agreements	6/1/2007	5/16/2023	Costco Wholesale Corp.	Lease to insure adequate parking	Creative Growth	4,738,246	N				274,000		\$ 274,000
14	Grove Station Low/Mod Housing	Miscellaneous	9/2/2008	6/30/2014	Olson Co./Alshire & Wynder LLC	Housing Assistance per Development Agreement & Legal included with Admin Costs	Creative Growth		N						\$
18	Housing Successor Agency Administrative Expense	Admin Costs	2/18/2014	7/1/2018	San Dimas Housing Authority - Successor Agency	Cost to Administer Housing Successor Agency			N						\$
19									N						\$
20									N						\$
21									N						\$
22									N						\$
23									N						\$
24									N						\$
25									N						\$
26									N						\$
27									N						\$
28									N						\$
29									N						\$
30									N						\$
31									N						\$
32									N						\$
33									N						\$

